



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/322,062	05/27/1999	AVINOAM RUBINSTAIN	23005-719	7457
7	590 12/27/2004		EXAM	INER
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. ONE SHELL PLAZA			LIU, SHUWANG	
910 LOUISIANA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77002-4995			2634	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)				
		09/322,062	RUBINSTAIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shuwang Liu	2634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on the a	mendment filed on 06/4/03				
· · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	` '	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 3. Claims 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility.

As well known in the art, for 100 Base-TX transmission, the transmitted signal is a four-bit nibble coming in at 25 Mbps on each of the four lines (ports) to produce a cumulative rate of 100Mbps. That is, four DSL Ethernet Ports transmit a data in range from 25 to 100 Mbps in 25 Mbps increments. If the ports are less than four, the 100 Mbps data stream cannot be transmitted. The specific asserted utility or a well established utility doest not support "a data splitter adapted to split a received 100 Mbps Ethernet stream into one to four 25 Mbps data upstream signals" or " a data collection and reorganization unit coupled to said one to four DSL ports and adapted to assemble said one to four 25 Mbps downstream signals into a single 100 Mbps Ethernet data stream for transmission by said physical layer module" as recited in claims 18, 23 and 26. Note, because the claimed invention is not supported by specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, credibility cannot asserted.

Art Unit: 2634

Claims 18-28 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (see following). Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not describe that "a data splitter adapted to split a received 100 Mbps Ethernet stream into one to four 25 Mbps data upstream signals" or "a data collection and reorganization unit coupled to said one to four DSL ports and adapted to assemble said one to four 25 Mbps downstream signals into a single 100 Mbps Ethernet data stream for transmission by said physical layer module" as recited in claims 18, 23 and 26.

The specification only describe on page 16 that "....From one to up to four DSL Ethernet Port modules can be used depending on the 100BaseS configuration actually

Art Unit: 2634

implemented. The invention supports up to four DSL Ethernet Ports for a data range from 25 to 100 Mbps in 25 Mbps increments" and "The unit 192 functions to receive the demodulated data from up to four DSL Ethernet Port modules via control/data lines 204 and assemble the one or more data stream into a signal data stream." The signal data stream as described here is not a signal 100 Mbps Ethernet data stream. The specification teaches if a configuration of DSL Ethernet Port modules is one port, the data transmission is 25 Mbps, if a configuration of DSL Ethernet Port modules is two ports, the data transmission is 50 Mbps, if a configuration of DSL Ethernet Port modules is three ports, the data transmission is 75 Mbps, or if a configuration of DSL Ethernet Port modules is four ports, the data transmission is 100 Mbps.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2634

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is 571 272-3036.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571 272-3056. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shuwang Liu
Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2634

December 22, 2004